

Capital Programme Review 2018-19 Project Appraisal Form

COMMITTEE & BID NUMBER

Community & Wellbeing Bid 1

PROJECT TITLE

DISABLED FACILITIES GRANT (DFG) PROGRAMME

ACCOUNTABLE OFFICER

Officer responsible for project planning and delivery of the scheme. Accountable officers are also responsible for post project review.

RACHEL JACKSON

DETAILS OF PROJECT

Project scope, what is included/excluded in the scheme	The Disabled Facilities Grant (DFG) is a mandatory grant which provides adaptations to enable vulnerable residents to remain in their home independently, thereby reducing the need for hospital services or sheltered housing. The project links to our Key Priority of Supporting our Community.
Project outcomes and benefits	The DFG is a mandatory grant, and provides adaptations to enable vulnerable residents to maintain independence and remain in their own homes, and can prevent the need for NHS services/hospitalisation and/or sheltered housing. Provision of the DFG meets our statutory obligations. In addition, the flexibility of the DFG programme has allowed the introduction of a Discretionary Grants programme which will reach out to an even greater range of vulnerable residents.

FINANCIAL SUMMARY

		Cost of Project £	Comments and detail where necessary. Provide appendices where relevant. Examples of business cases spreadsheets can be found in the Finance Handbook
a	Estimated cost of purchase, works and/or equipment	£588,000	Estimated allocation of £588K plus the underspend from 2017/18 and previous years (c. £200-£300K)
b	Consultancy or other fees		
c	Total Scheme Capital Costs (a+b)	£588,000	
d	External Funding Identified (e.g. s106, grants etc.) Please give details, including any unsuccessful funding enquiries you may have made.	£588,000	In 2014/15 the Better Care Fund (BCF) was introduced which pools together £3.8 bn funds for health, social care and housing. For 2017/18 the DFG element was protected by way of a ring-fenced grant to each Local Authority, which resulted in £588K being allocated for the programme, no additional capital funding was allocated. The high level of funding was due to the fact that Surrey County Council were not allocated any funding under this scheme. It is expected that in 2018/19 SCC may continue to seek to negotiate a portion of the payment of the BCF allocations,

Capital Programme Review 2018-19 Project Appraisal Form

			therefore at this stage the actual DFG allocation is unknown. However, there will be a considerable underspend from 2017/18 to include in the scheme's budget.
e	Net Costs to Council (c-d)		
f	Internal Sources of Capital Funds Identified (e.g. repairs & renewals reserve etc.)	0	
g	Capital Reserves Needed to Finance Bid (e-f)		
h	Annual Ongoing Revenue Additional Savings as a Direct Result of the Project	0	
i	Annual Ongoing Revenue Additional Costs as a Direct Result of the Project		

Year	2017/18 £	2018/19 £	2019/20 £
Spend Profile of Scheme – please identify which year (s) the scheme spend will fall into	£588,000	£500,000 (plus any carry over)	£500,000 (plus any carry over)

REVENUE IMPACT

Can Revenue Implications Be Funded From the Committee Base Budget? – Please give details	
--	--

CORPORATE PLAN 2016/20

Is this investment linked to EEBC's Key Priorities? If so, say which ones and evidence how. How does project fit within service objectives?	The project links to our Key Priority of Supporting our Community.
---	--

TIMESCALES

What is the proposed timetable for completion of the project? Give estimated start and finish dates for each stage of the project. These dates will be used as milestones during quarterly budget monitoring to assess performance of project delivery.

Capital Programme Review 2018-19 Project Appraisal Form

		Target Start Date	Target Finish Date
1	Design & Planning	Ongoing	
2	Further Approvals Needed	n/a	
3	Tendering (if necessary)	n/a	
4	Project start date	Ongoing	
5	Project Finish Date	Ongoing	

BASELINE CRITERIA

All capital schemes are assessed against criteria set by the Capital Member Group annually. Bids should meet at least one of these criteria. State which capital criteria(s) for assessing bids are met and why. Leave blank any which are not met.

Spend to Save schemes should meet the following criteria:

- Payback of the amount capital invested within the project within 5 years (7 years for renewable energy projects).
- The return required on capital employed should be linked to the potential cost of borrowing (MRP) rather than potential loss of investment income.
- Risk of not achieving return on investment is low.
- Clear definition of financial cost/benefits of the scheme.

Members may consider schemes with longer paybacks on major spend to save projects going forward, especially those that incur borrowing.

Is there a guarantee of the scheme being fully externally funded and is it classed as a high priority? Please give details of funding streams, including any restrictions on the funding.	Yes. As above.
Is the Scheme a Spend to Save Project? Will investment improve service efficiency including cost savings or income generation? What is the payback in years?	No.

Capital Programme Review 2018-19 Project Appraisal Form

<p>It is mandatory for the Council to provide the scheme? Is investment required to meet Health and Safety or other legislative requirements? If so state which requirements.</p>	<p>Yes. There is a need to ensure that sufficient resources are made available to deliver the mandatory grant programme.</p>
<p>Is this project the minimum scheme required to continue to deliver the services of the Council? - Is investment required for the business continuity of the Council? If so say how.</p>	<p>Yes, as above. Should the DFG programme not be delivered, there is a risk the Authority would be judicially challenged for failing to meet its statutory obligations.</p>

ASSET MANAGEMENT PLAN

<p>Is investment identified in the Council's Asset Management Plan?</p>	<p>No.</p>
---	------------

PRIORITISATION

State which **one** of the four prioritisation categories are met and why.

1	<p>Investment essential to meet statutory obligation.</p>	<p>Yes. The DFG is a mandatory grant.</p>
2	<p>Investment Important to achieve Key Priorities.</p>	
3	<p>Investment important to secure service continuity and improvement.</p>	
4	<p>Investment will assist but is not required to meet one of the baseline criteria.</p>	

RISKS ASSOCIATED WITH SCHEME

1	<p>Outline the risks of delivering this project to timetable and budget. (Please do not include risks to the service or asset if project is not approved.)</p>	
2	<p>Are there any risks relating to the availability of resources internally to deliver this project</p>	<p>The budget is monitored closely on a monthly basis and to ensure the programme is delivered within the financial limits. In addition, the approved priority assessment scheme is implemented when necessary to ensure the most vulnerable applicants received assistance.</p>

Capital Programme Review 2018-19 Project Appraisal Form

3	Consequences of not undertaking this project	As detailed within this appraisal.
4	Alternative Solutions (Other solutions considered – cost and implications)	None.

Is consultation required for this project? Please give details of the who with and when by.	No.
--	-----

Ward(s) affected by the scheme	All
---------------------------------------	-----